

ON THE LELONG-DEMAILLY NUMBERS OF PLURISUBHARMONIC CURRENTS

NOUREDDINE GHILOUFI

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the existence of the Lelong-Demailly number of a negative plurisubharmonic current with respect to a positive plurisubharmonic function on an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then we establish some estimates of the Lelong-Demailly numbers of positive or negative plurisubharmonic currents.

Sur les Nombres de Lelong-Demailly des courants plurisous-harmoniques.

RÉSUMÉ. Dans cette note, on étudie l'existence du nombre de Lelong-Demailly d'un courant négatif plurisousharmonique relativement à une fonction positive plurisousharmonique sur un ouvert de $\mathbb{C}^n$ puis on donne quelques estimations des nombres de Lelong-Demailly des courants positifs ou négatifs plurisousharmoniques.

VERSION FRANÇAISE ABRÉGÉE

L'existence des nombres de Lelong des courants positifs a été résolu par P. Lelong dans les années 1950 pour le cas des courants *fermés*, puis ce résultat a été étendu par Skoda au cas des courants *positifs plurisousharmoniques*. En revanche, il existe des courants *négatifs plurisousharmoniques* qui n'admettent pas de nombres de Lelong, on peut voir par exemple que $\log(|z_2|^2)[z_1 = 0]$ est un exemple de courant négatif plurisousharmonique de bidimension $(1, 1)$ sur la boule unité de $\mathbb{C}^2$ qui n'admet pas de nombre de Lelong en 0.

Le principal objectif de cette note est de traiter le problème de l'existence des nombres de Lelong généralisés introduits par Demailly [1], d'un courant négatif plurisousharmonique T de bidimension (p, p) sur un ouvert Ω de $\mathbb{C}^n$; pour cela on note $\text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ l'ensemble des fonctions φ positives plurisousharmoniques semi-exhaustives dont le logarithme $\log \varphi$ est plurisousharmonique sur Ω , et telles que le produit extérieur $T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p$ soit bien défini. Le nombre de Lelong-Demailly de T relativement à un poids φ tel que $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ est $\nu(T, \varphi) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+} \nu(T, \varphi, r)$, où $\nu(T, \varphi, \cdot)$ est la fonction

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32U25; 32U40; 32U05.

Key words and phrases. Lelong number, plurisubharmonic current, plurisubharmonic function.

définie par

$$\nu(T, \varphi, r) := \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{\{\varphi < r\}} T \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p.$$

Le résultat principal de cette note est:

Théorème 1. *Soient T un courant négatif plurisousharmonique de bidimension (p, p) sur Ω et $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$. Si la fonction $t \mapsto \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t}$ est intégrable au voisinage de 0, alors le nombre de Lelong-Demailly $\nu(T, \varphi)$ du courant T relativement à φ existe.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of Lelong numbers of positive currents was proved by P. Lelong in the 1950's in the case of *closed* currents, then this result was extended by H. Skoda to the case of *positive plurisubharmonic* currents. However, there are *negative* plurisubharmonic currents which do not admit Lelong numbers, for example $-(-\log(|z_2|^2))^\epsilon [z_1 = 0]$ is a negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension $(1, 1)$ on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^2$, which admits no Lelong number at 0 for all $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$.

The main purpose of the first part of this note is to study the existence of generalized Lelong numbers, introduced by Demailly [1], in the case of negative plurisubharmonic currents of bidimension (p, p) on an open set Ω of $\mathbb{C}^n$. In the second part, we give some proprieties of the Lelong-Demailly numbers of positive or negative plurisubharmonic currents. In particular, we prove that the Lelong-Demailly numbers do not depend to the system of coordinates. To this aim, we consider a non-negative plurisubharmonic function φ on Ω such that $\log \varphi$ is plurisubharmonic on $\{\varphi > 0\}$ and for every $r > 0$, $r_2 > r_1 > 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varphi(r) &:= \{z \in \Omega; \varphi(z) < r\}, \\ B_\varphi(r_1, r_2) &:= \{z \in \Omega; r_1 \leq \varphi(z) < r_2\} = B_\varphi(r_2) \setminus B_\varphi(r_1) \\ \beta_\varphi &:= dd^c \varphi = \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi, \quad \alpha_\varphi := dd^c \log \varphi \text{ on } \{\varphi > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that φ is semi-exhaustive, i.e. there exists $R = R(\varphi) > 0$ such that $B_\varphi(R)$ is relatively compact in Ω . If S is a positive (or negative) current of bidimension (p, p) on the set Ω then we denote $\text{PSH}(S, \Omega)$ the set of non-negative semi-exhaustive plurisubharmonic functions φ such that $\log \varphi$ is also plurisubharmonic on Ω and the exterior product $S \wedge (dd^c \varphi)^p$ is well defined. Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{I}_S(\varphi) := \{k > 0; \varphi^k \in \text{PSH}(S, \Omega)\}$ for every $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(S, \Omega)$; in particular, if φ is $\mathcal{C}^2$ then $\mathcal{I}_S(\varphi) \supset [1, +\infty[$ for every current S .

The Lelong-Demailly number of S relatively to the weight φ is $\nu(S, \varphi) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \nu(S, \varphi, r)$ where $\nu(S, \varphi, \cdot)$ is the function defined on $]0, R(\varphi)[$ by

$$\nu(S, \varphi, r) := \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{B_\varphi(r)} S \wedge \beta_\varphi^p.$$

The classical Lelong number is given by the choice $\varphi(z) = \varphi_0(z) := |z|^2$.

Example 1. Let $S_\epsilon(z_1, z_2) = (|z_2|^{2\epsilon} - 1)[z_1 = 0]$ where $\epsilon > 0$. Then S_ϵ is a negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension $(1, 1)$ on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$, $\varphi_0 \in \text{PSH}(S_\epsilon, \mathbb{B})$ and $\mathcal{I}_{S_\epsilon}(\varphi_0) = \mathcal{I}_{dd^c S_\epsilon}(\varphi_0) =]0, +\infty[$.

Proof. A simple computation proves that

$$S_\epsilon \wedge dd^c(\varphi_0^k) = \frac{k^2}{\pi}(|z_2|^{2\epsilon} - 1)|z_2|^{2(k-1)} idz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge [z_1 = 0]$$

and $dd^c S_\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\pi}|z_2|^{2(\epsilon-1)} idz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge [z_1 = 0]$, hence $S_\epsilon \wedge dd^c(\varphi_0^k)$ is well defined for all $k > 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_{S_\epsilon}(\varphi_0) = \mathcal{I}_{dd^c S_\epsilon}(\varphi_0) =]0, +\infty[$. One can check easily that

$$\nu(S_\epsilon, \varphi_0^k, r) = 2k^2 \left(\frac{r^{\epsilon/k}}{\epsilon + k} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(dd^c S_\epsilon, \varphi_0, r) = 2\epsilon r^\epsilon,$$

hence $\nu(S_\epsilon, \varphi_0^k) = -2k$. $\square$

2. MAIN RESULT

In the following, we will use a Lelong-Jensen formula proved by Demailly [1]. In [2], Toujani used an analogue of this formula to prove the existence of the directional Lelong-Demailly numbers of positive plurisubharmonic currents.

Lemma 1. (See [1] or [2]) *Let S be a positive or negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(S, \Omega)$. Then, for all $0 < r_1 < r_2 < R(\varphi)$,*

(2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(S, \varphi, r_2) - \nu(S, \varphi, r_1) &= \frac{1}{r_2^p} \int_{B_\varphi(r_2)} S \wedge \beta_\varphi^p - \frac{1}{r_1^p} \int_{B_\varphi(r_1)} S \wedge \beta_\varphi^p \\ &= \int_{B_\varphi(r_1, r_2)} S \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p \\ &\quad + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left(\frac{1}{t^p} - \frac{1}{r_2^p} \right) dt \int_{B_\varphi(t)} dd^c S \wedge \beta_\varphi^{p-1} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{r_1^p} - \frac{1}{r_2^p} \right) \int_0^{r_1} dt \int_{B_\varphi(t)} dd^c S \wedge \beta_\varphi^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1, if S is positive plurisubharmonic then $\nu(S, \varphi, \cdot)$ is a non-negative increasing function on $]0, R(\varphi)[$, so $\nu(S, \varphi) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \nu(S, \varphi, r)$ exists.

It is well known that if S is a positive plurisubharmonic current, then for every $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(S, \Omega)$ the function $t \mapsto \frac{\nu(dd^c S, \varphi, t)}{t}$ is integrable in the neighborhood of 0. Throughout this note, for every negative plurisubharmonic current T on Ω , we say that a function $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ satisfies condition (C) if the function $t \mapsto \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t}$ is integrable on a neighborhood of 0. In particular, if φ satisfies condition (C), we must have $\nu(dd^c T, \varphi) = 0$.

Now we state the main result concerning the case of negative plurisubharmonic currents.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ satisfying condition (C). Then, the Lelong-Demailly number $\nu(T, \varphi)$ of the current T relatively to φ exists.*

Proof. For every $0 < r < R(\varphi)$, we set

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{B_\varphi(r)} T \wedge \beta_\varphi^p + \frac{1}{r^p} \int_0^r dt \int_{B_\varphi(t)} dd^c T \wedge \beta_\varphi^{p-1} - \int_0^r \frac{dt}{t^p} \int_{B_\varphi(t)} dd^c T \wedge \beta_\varphi^{p-1}.$$

Thanks to condition (C), the function f is well defined and non-positive on $]0, R(\varphi)[$. Indeed,

$$f(r) = \nu(T, \varphi, r) + \int_0^r \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - 1 \right) \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t} dt \leq 0$$

because the function $\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, \cdot)$ is non-negative on $]0, R(\varphi)[$.

For $0 < r_1 < r_2 < R(\varphi)$ we set $A(r_1, r_2) := f(r_2) - f(r_1)$. The current T is negative plurisubharmonic, thus lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} A(r_1, r_2) &= \nu(T, \varphi, r_2) - \nu(T, \varphi, r_1) + \frac{1}{r_2^p} \int_0^{r_2} t^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{r_1^p} \int_0^{r_1} t^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) dt - \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t} dt \\ (2.2) \quad &= \int_{B_\varphi(r_1, r_2)} T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is a non-positive decreasing function on $]0, R(\varphi)[$, and this implies the existence of the limit $\varrho := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} f(r)$. The hypothesis of integrability of $\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)/t$ and the fact that $(t^p/r^p - 1)$ is uniformly bounded give

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^r \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - 1 \right) \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t} dt = 0.$$

Therefore, $\varrho = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} f(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \nu(T, \varphi, r) = \nu(T, \varphi)$. $\square$

Remark 1. If T is a positive (resp. negative) plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ (resp. satisfying condition (C)) then the extension $\widetilde{T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p}$ of $T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p$ by 0 over the compact set $\{\varphi = 0\}$ exists and we have

$$\int_{B_\varphi(r)} \widetilde{T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p} = f(r) - \nu(T, \varphi).$$

Indeed, it suffice to use the lemma 1 to prove that $\int_{B_\varphi(\epsilon, r)} T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p$ is uniformly bounded and then tend ϵ to 0 to prove the equality of the remark. A natural question arises: does the existence of the Lelong-Demailly number of the negative plurisubharmonic current T implies the existence of $\widetilde{T \wedge \alpha_\varphi^p}$? so condition (C) will be necessary in Theorem 1.

In the following proposition, we give some properties of Lelong-Demailly numbers in both cases of negative or positive plurisubharmonic currents.

Proposition 1. *Let T be a positive or negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$. Then, for every $k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$ and every $r \in]0, R(\varphi)[$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \nu(T, \varphi^k, r^k) = k^p \left[\nu(T, \varphi, r) + \int_0^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt \right].$$

In particular, if T is negative, then $\nu(T, \varphi)$ exists if and only if for all $k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$, $\nu(T, \varphi^k)$ exists.

Furthermore, in both cases (with the assumption that $\nu(T, \varphi)$ exists if T is negative) we have

$$\nu(T, \varphi^k) = k^p \nu(T, \varphi).$$

The previous equality is due to Demailly in the case of closed positive currents.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. By replacing φ with $\varphi_\epsilon = \varphi + \epsilon$ and $\psi := \varphi^k$ with $\psi_\epsilon = \varphi_\epsilon^k$, when T is negative (respectively positive), Equality (2.2) (resp. Equality (2.1)) gives for $0 < r_1 < \epsilon < r < R(\varphi)$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu(T, \varphi_\epsilon, r) &= \int_{B_{\varphi_\epsilon}(\epsilon, r)} T \wedge \alpha_{\varphi_\epsilon}^p - \frac{1}{r^p} \int_\epsilon^r t^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_\epsilon^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, t)}{t} dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu(T, \psi_\epsilon, r^k) &= \int_{B_{\psi_\epsilon}(\epsilon^k, r^k)} T \wedge \alpha_{\psi_\epsilon}^p - \frac{1}{r^{kp}} \int_{\epsilon^k}^{r^k} t^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \psi_\epsilon, t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\epsilon^k}^{r^k} \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \psi_\epsilon, t)}{t} dt \\ &= \int_{B_{\psi_\epsilon}(\epsilon^k, r^k)} T \wedge \alpha_{\psi_\epsilon}^p - \frac{k}{r^{kp}} \int_\epsilon^r s^{kp-1} \nu(dd^c T, \psi_\epsilon, s^k) ds \\ &\quad + k \int_\epsilon^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \psi_\epsilon, s^k)}{s} ds \\ &= k^p \int_{B_{\varphi_\epsilon}(\epsilon, r)} T \wedge \alpha_{\varphi_\epsilon}^p - \frac{k^p}{r^{kp}} \int_\epsilon^r s^{kp-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, s) ds \\ &\quad + k^p \int_\epsilon^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, s)}{s} ds \\ &= k^p \left(\nu(T, \varphi_\epsilon, r) + \frac{1}{r^p} \int_\epsilon^r t^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, t) dt \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{k^p}{r^{kp}} \int_\epsilon^r s^{kp-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, s) ds \\ &= k^p \left(\nu(T, \varphi_\epsilon, r) + \int_\epsilon^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used successively Equality (2.4) with ψ_ϵ instead of φ_ϵ in the first equality, then the change of variable $t = s^k$, next the fact that $\nu(dd^c T, \psi_\epsilon, s^k) = k^{p-1} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi_\epsilon, s)$ (equality proved by Demailly in the case of closed positive currents), and finally Equality (2.4).

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, Equality (2.5) implies Equality (2.3).

Thanks to Equality (2.3), for every $r \in]0, R(\varphi)[$, we have

$$\nu(T, \varphi, r) - \frac{1}{k^p} \nu(T, \varphi^k, r^k) = - \int_0^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \left(\frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp-1}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt.$$

The current $dd^c T$ is positive and closed, hence $\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, \cdot)$ is a non-negative increasing function and $\nu(dd^c T, \varphi) = 0$. We consider two disjoint cases:

- *First case* $k \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \left(\frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp-1}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt \\ &\leq \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, r) \int_0^r \left(\frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp-1}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt \\ &= \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, r) \frac{k-1}{kp}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$-\frac{k-1}{kp} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, r) \leq \nu(T, \varphi, r) - \frac{1}{k^p} \nu(T, \varphi^k, r^k) \leq 0$$

- *Second case* $k < 1$. A similar calculation shows that we have

$$0 \leq \nu(T, \varphi, r) - \frac{1}{k^p} \nu(T, \varphi^k, r^k) \leq -\frac{k-1}{kp} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, r).$$

In the two cases, both terms (of the right-hand and the left-hand) have the same limit 0 when $r \rightarrow 0^+$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

3. APPLICATIONS

The following corollaries are immediate consequences of Theorem 1 and/or Proposition 1.

Corollary 1. *Let T be a negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$. If there exists $k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$ such that the function $t \mapsto \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t^{\frac{1}{k}})}{t}$ is integrable on a neighborhood of 0, then the Lelong-Demailly number $\nu(T, \varphi)$ of T relatively to φ exists.*

Proof. We can prove this corollary in two different ways:

- *First way.* Thanks to Proposition 1, to prove that $\nu(T, \varphi)$ exists, it suffices to show that $\nu(T, \varphi^k)$ exists; for this we observe that

$$\frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi^k, t)}{t} = \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi^k, (t^{\frac{1}{k}})^k)}{t} = k^{p-1} \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t^{\frac{1}{k}})}{t}$$

is integrable on a neighborhood of 0 (hypothesis). Hence, thanks to Theorem 1, $\nu(T, \varphi^k)$ exists.

- *Second way.* We remark that the integrability of $\frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t^{\frac{1}{k}})}{t}$ is equivalent to the integrability of $\frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t}$. Hence, thanks to Theorem 1, $\nu(T, \varphi)$ exists. In fact if we take $t = s^k$ we obtain

$$\int_0^{r_0} \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t^{\frac{1}{k}})}{t} dt = k \int_0^{r_0^k} \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, s)}{s} ds.$$

□

Corollary 2. *Let T be a negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$ is non bounded (this is always the case if φ is $\mathcal{C}^2$). Then for every $r \in]0, R(\varphi)[$ one has*

$$\nu(T, \varphi, r) \leq - \int_0^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} dt.$$

In particular,

$$\nu(T, \varphi, r) \leq - \frac{1 - s^{-p}}{p} \nu(dd^c T, s\varphi, r) \quad \forall s \geq 1.$$

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 1, for every $k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$, we have

$$u(k) := \frac{1}{k^p} \nu(T, \varphi^k, r^k) = \nu(T, \varphi, r) + \int_0^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - \frac{t^{kp}}{r^{kp}} \right) dt.$$

The function u is non-positive and increasing on $\mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$, so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty, k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)} u(k) = \nu(T, \varphi, r) + \int_0^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} dt \leq 0.$$

If $s = 1$ the inequality is clear. For $s > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(T, \varphi, r) &\leq - \int_0^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} dt \leq - \int_{r/s}^r \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, t) \frac{t^{p-1}}{r^p} dt \\ &\leq - \frac{1 - s^{-p}}{p} \nu(dd^c T, \varphi, \frac{r}{s}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2. *Let T be a positive or negative plurisubharmonic current of bidimension (p, p) on Ω and $\varphi, \psi \in \text{PSH}(T, \Omega)$ such that*

$$\liminf_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \psi(z)}{\log \varphi(z)} \geq \ell$$

where $\mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$ contains a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}(\ell)$ of ℓ . One has

- $\nu(T, \psi) \geq \ell^p \nu(T, \varphi)$ if T is positive.
- $\nu(T, \psi) \leq \ell^p \nu(T, \varphi)$ if T is negative (with the assumption that ψ satisfies condition (C)).

In particular, if $\log \psi(z) \sim \ell \log \varphi(z)$ for $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{V}(0)$ then $\nu(T, \psi) = \ell^p \nu(T, \varphi)$.

This type of theorem is called a “comparison theorem”; such a statement has been proved by Demailly in the case of positive closed currents. Furthermore, one can deduce the invariance of the Lelong-Demailly numbers under changes of coordinate systems.

Proof. One can replace ψ by ψ^k with $1 < k \in \mathcal{I}_T(\psi)$, in order to assume that

$$\liminf_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \psi(z)}{\log \varphi(z)} > \ell.$$

As a consequence

$$\lim_{\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(z)}{\varphi(z)^\ell} = 0.$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ small sufficiently, we set $\Psi_\epsilon := \psi + \epsilon \varphi^\ell \underset{\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{V}(0)}{\sim} \epsilon \varphi^\ell$. Thanks to the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{\Psi_\epsilon < r} T \wedge \beta_{\Psi_\epsilon}^p = \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{\psi < r} T \wedge \beta_\psi^p.$$

- *First assume $T \geq 0$.* As the function $\nu(T, \Psi_\epsilon, \cdot)$ is increasing then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(T, \Psi_\epsilon, r) &= \frac{1}{r^p} \int_{\Psi_\epsilon < r} T \wedge \beta_{\Psi_\epsilon}^p \\ &\geq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^p} \int_{\Psi_\epsilon < \rho} T \wedge \beta_{\Psi_\epsilon}^p = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^p} \int_{\epsilon \varphi^\ell < \rho} T \wedge \beta_{\Psi_\epsilon}^p \\ &\geq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^p} \int_{\epsilon \varphi^\ell < \rho} T \wedge \beta_{\epsilon \varphi^\ell}^p = \nu(T, \varphi^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

because $\Psi_\epsilon \sim \epsilon \varphi^\ell$ and $T \wedge (dd^c(\psi + \epsilon \varphi^\ell))^p \geq T \wedge (\epsilon dd^c(\varphi^\ell))^p$. If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\nu(T, \psi, r) \geq \nu(T, \varphi^\ell)$. Hence, if $r \rightarrow 0$, $\nu(T, \psi) \geq \nu(T, \varphi^\ell)$.

- *Now, assume $T \leq 0$.* Thanks to Theorem 1, Equality (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(T, \Psi_\epsilon, r) + \int_0^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \Psi_\epsilon, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - 1 \right) dt &\leq \nu(T, \Psi_\epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^p} \int_{\Psi_\epsilon < \rho} T \wedge \beta_{\Psi_\epsilon}^p \end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation proves that

$$\nu(T, \Psi_\epsilon, r) + \int_0^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \Psi_\epsilon, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - 1 \right) dt \leq \nu(T, \varphi^\ell).$$

So if $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\nu(T, \psi, r) + \int_0^r \frac{\nu(dd^c T, \psi, t)}{t} \left(\frac{t^p}{r^p} - 1 \right) dt \leq \nu(T, \varphi^\ell).$$

When $r \rightarrow 0$, the last inequality gives $\nu(T, \psi) \leq \nu(T, \varphi^\ell)$.

In the particular case $\log \psi(z) \sim \ell \log \varphi(z)$, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ so that if $|\varphi(z)| < \eta$, then $\varphi(z)^{\ell(1+\epsilon)} \leq \psi(z) \leq \varphi(z)^{\ell(1-\epsilon)}$ and for ϵ small enough, $\ell(1+\epsilon), \ell(1-\epsilon) \in \mathcal{V}(\ell) \subset \mathcal{I}_T(\varphi)$. Therefore we can apply the previous inequalities to obtain $\ell^p(1+\epsilon)^p \nu(T, \varphi) \leq \nu(T, \psi) \leq \ell^p(1-\epsilon)^p \nu(T, \varphi)$ (resp. $\ell^p(1-\epsilon)^p \nu(T, \varphi) \leq \nu(T, \psi) \leq \ell^p(1+\epsilon)^p \nu(T, \varphi)$ in the case $T \geq 0$ (resp. $T \leq 0$). Hence, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\nu(T, \psi) = \ell^p \nu(T, \varphi)$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly for several suggestions which enabled me to clarify and improve the original form of this note. I thank also Professor Khalifa Dabbek for useful discussions concerning this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] **J.-P. Demailly**, Sur les nombres de Lelong associés à l'image directe d'un courant positif fermé, Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 32, n°2 p37-66 (1982).
- [2] **M. Toujani**, Nombre de Lelong directionnel d'un courant positif plurisousharmonique, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 p705-710 (2006).

E-mail address: noureddine.ghiloufi@fsg.rnu.tn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF GABÈS, UNIVERSITY OF GABÈS, 6072 GABÈS TUNISIA.